ЛІТЕРАТУРА:

- 1. Про національну програму інформатизації: Закон України від 4 лютого 1998 р. № 74/98-ВР (зі змін. і допов.) / Відомості Верховної Ради України. 1998. № 27-28. ст. 181.
- 2. Про основні засади розвитку інформаційного суспільства в Україні на 2007-2015 роки: Закон України від 9 січня 2007 р. № 537-V/ Відомості Верховної Ради України (ВВР). № 12. ст. 102.
- 3. Про схвалення Стратегії розвитку інформаційного суспільства в Україні: Розпорядження Кабінету Міністрів України від 15 травня 2013 р. № 386-р (зі змін. і допов.)/ Офіційний вісник України. 2013. № 44. ст. 79.
- 4. Тарнавська Т.В. Сутність інформаційних технологій в освіті / Т. В. Тарнавська. // Вісник Чернігівського національного педагогічного університету. Педагогічні науки. 2013. № 108. С. 1-5. Режим доступу: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/VchdpuP 2013 1 108 31
- 5. Сучасні інформаційні технології та інноваційні методики навчання у підготовці фахівців: методологія, теорія, досвід, проблеми: Зб. наук. пр./ Київ-Вінниця: ТОВ фірма «Планер», 2015. № 43. 542 с.



Svanidze Nika Faculty of Law Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University

RIGHT OF THE CHOICE FROM CHILDHOOD TO THE END OF THE LIFE

ESSAY

"When a man cannot choose he ceases to be a man" – I was only eighteen years old, I was sitting on the field and reading Anthony Burgess book "The Clockwork Orange". I read this sentence in this book and first time in my life I really thought very serious about a problem, problem which name is right of choice. What is it choice? Is our choice always correct? Have we or not right of choice? How can we choose, if somebody choosing instead us? There were many questions and they were rounding in my head and I wanted to answer to all of them, but it was so complicated for me. But the most important question for me was, that: "Do we have the right of choice from the beginning of our life?" to answer this question I deeply look through my childhood and thought about every the most important choice for the person and I decided, all of us has a right of choice from the childhood to the end of life. Let's start.

Our life starting without choice, but the right of the choice is not only political right, it must be the principle whole the life. I want to start from my childhood; there was a time, when I hated reading books. I always thought that it was so needles for me; I remember, my parents had never told me to read them. But at once my mother told me: "my son you have an opportunity to choose, if you want to be clever and if you really want to read them, you can do it, I will not force you to do that". I was really very happy and I thought that I was so powerful because I had an opportunity to choose, to choose, what's better for me. All persons need to choose what they really want. We are studying

on our mistakes. If we did something wrong next time we will understand not to do that anymore. But how is when somebody choosing your own life instead of you? Lets' have a look through world history. In the Soviet Union's Regime every person had not ability to rule their life, they were lost in this atmosphere and all their choice from the beginning till the end was illusion because others choosing instead them. What was the end of this regime? It gives up to work, because for person the most important thing is to be free in his choice. Freedom is like a choice too and people from this regime decided to be free.

The next most important step for the person is to choose religion. Religion plays very big role for the human. It is your believe and your belief. But do we always choosing religion by ourselves? Answer is no, we are not. This is very popular for the Christian people to baptize their children when they are infant. But in Muslim countries people don't have an opportunity to choose the other religion in generally. Is it normal? In the first situation why we didn't give our children a right to choose religion by themselves, why we baptize them, maybe when they grow up, they don't like this. What he can do after this? In the second situation people lost their opportunity to be free in their own choice. I want to end this part with John Steinbeck's word: "and this I believe: that the free, exploring mind of the individual human is the most valuable thing in the world. And this I would fight for: the freedom of the mind to take any direction it wishes, undirected. And this I must fight against: any idea, religion, or government which limits or destroys the individual. This is what I am and what I am about".

The sixteenth president of the United States Abraham Lincoln often repeated that: "the best way to predict the future is to create it". This person who was the most famous president of United States believed that we are making our future. What is it our future? Our future is our career and profession which one we are choosing. That why I am agree with this genius person, because every person are free and they have their own life. This life gives them many opportunities to do it perfect. But what man can do if it doesn't have the choice of his profession. What can if I want to be doctor but someone force not to do this and they are choosing my life, my profession instead of me? I remember my friend's situation, his dreams from the childhood was to be the artist, he was talking always about this how perfect it will be, when he will stand at the stage and will play Hamlet or Romeo. But unfortunately, he is doctor now. In his family his parents were doctors and they always push him to do the same choice, that's why my friend now is a doctor. Is it right? This guy who had a big dream loses this, because somebody doesn't like this. Why we are not giving to the child opportunity to choose? To answer this question is really very difficult. I don't agree with this.

Let's give up with the childhood and teenager hood period of the person and talk about the time when he is starting to rule his own life. Is person really free in his choice after teenager hood? In this part I want to speak about one of the most important decisions for the person. This line is about choice of love. In Muslim countries, unfortunately, woman is not free in her choice of husband. She is married in this guy who one will be chosen by her parents. This is not enough, unfortunately, in these countries very popular children marriage. I can say this is one of the biggest problem, where right of choice from whole life, loosing it's meaning. Choice of love person, is like choosing whole life, but here we see that people losing their lives, because they don't have another option. In this case one American lecturer and author, Barbara De

Angelis, says very interesting quote: "The real act of marriage takes place in the heart, not in the ballroom or church or synagogue. It's a choice you make – not just on your wedding day, but over and over again – and that choice is reflected in the way you treat your husband or wife".

Next step will be about the choice of crime. This line is the most interesting for me, as for a future lawyer. What is it crime? Is it crime an evil? What is it cruel? We don't have a fully correct answer about these questions. Kindness and evil are only words. These words people understand by themselves. It's depends on the person, how he is looking about this behave. For example, twentieth century, century when happened two world wars. In the Second World War Hitler the leader of the Germany, as we know, had done many evil things. The most evil thing was the holocaust, when he killed million Jewish people. Now we considering it like an evil, but are these people who lived in this period thought the same as we at the moment? This is so tough to know exactly what the kindness and the evil for the person. Is the person has a choice of crime? Rodion Raskolnikov killed two old women, he excuses himself with this that it was his choice and if Napoleon can kill millions for his reason, why he cannot kill two women?! His arguments were so interesting and one of them was this: "In my opinion, if, as the result of certain combinations, Kepler's or Newton's discoveries could become known to people in no other way than by sacrificing the lives of one, or ten, or a hundred or more people who were hindering the discovery, or standing as an obstacle in its path, then Newton would have the right, and it would even be his duty... to remove those ten or a hundred people, in order to make his discoveries known to mankind. It by no means follows from this, incidentally, that Newton should have the right to kill anyone he pleases, whoever happens along, or to steal from the market every day. Further, I recall developing in my article the idea that all... well, let's say, the lawgivers and founders of mankind, starting from the most ancient and going on to the Lycurgus's, the Solons, the Muhammad's, the Napoleons, and so forth, that all of them to a man were criminals, from the fact alone that in giving a new law, they thereby violated the old one, held sacred by society and passed down from their fathers, and they certainly did not stop at shedding blood either, if it happened that blood (sometimes quite innocent and shed valiantly for the ancient law) could help them." Hitler killed these people too because it was his choice. Yes, exactly, these arguments are very convincingly. But is always our choice correct? Can we touch other person's life by our choice, why we are forgetting that this person has the same right as we? It is true, sometimes our right of choice crosses the boundaries and these are the best example for this situation. Rodion Raskolnikov at last understands everything and he felt that his choice was incorrect.

The last part of the most important choices for the person is the right of death. "His willful hands and feet began to beat and churn about, spasmodically and feebly. But he had fooled them and the will to live that made them beat and churn. He was too deep down. They could never bring him to the surface. He seemed floating languidly in a sea of dreamy vision. Colors and radiances surrounded him and bathed him and pervaded him. What was that? It seemed a lighthouse; but it was inside his brain a flashing, bright white light. It flashed swifter and swifter. There was a long rumble of sound, and it seemed to him that he way falling down a vast and interminable stairway. And some where at the bottom he fell into darkness. That much he knew. He had fallen into darkness. And at the instant he knew, he ceased to know" – this part of the Jack

London's book, was something very painful for me, because the main character of this novel has done everything to be happy, to be the famous, but when he gets everything, for him all the life gets like a lie and he end his life with suicide. In many countries if man will survive after the attempt of suicide, there are some punishments. Is it correct? As we know life is our, we are free in our choice, our life is beginning without our choice, but why person cannot end this by his choice? I think this is not correct and as in the most of the countries the right of death, suicide, is legal, it has to be in other countries too. I think life is the biggest gift, there is nothing beautiful as life, but it is person's choice and he can decided by himself what is it better for him. I want to end this part with Hermann Hesses quote: "I have had to experience so much stupidity, so many vices, so much error, so much nausea, disillusionment and sorrow, just in order to become a child again and begin anew. I had to experience despair; I had to sink to the greatest mental depths, to thoughts of suicide, in order to experience grace."

I was sitting on the grass, was holding book and thought about this everything. I decided in our life we have five the most important choices, as I drawn them up. But life is full of choices. All day is our choice and the big present. We born to be happy and the right of choice is like a word, which is telling you the same. Choose the way, where you will be happy. "Many people think I can't live a normal life because I don't have arms or legs. I could choose to believe that and give up trying. I could stay at home and wait for others to take care of me. Instead, I choose to believe that I can do anything, and I always try to do things my own way. I choose to be happy. I am happy because I am always thankful."- This sentence said a man, whose life was full of the challenges. All the people have the right to choose the best for themselves. Right of choice is starting from our birth and carries on till the end of the life. Let's live your full life with your own choice. I want end this essay with the words of the biggest French Nobel winner writer Jean-Paul Sartre: "we are our choices."

REFERENCES:

- 1. Anthony Burgess "A Clockwork Orange"
- 2. John Steinbeck "East Of Eden"
- 3. William Shakespeare "Hamlet" and "Romeo and Juliet"
- 4. Fyodor Dostoevsky "Crime and Punishment"
- 5. Jack London "Martin Eden"
- 6. Hermann Hesse "Siddhartha"
- 7. Nick Vujicic "Give Me A Hug: 8 Life Lessons From Nick Your Kids Cannot Miss".

